

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,583	06/12/2001	. Robert Wipfel	26530.60 6234	
47699	7590 02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			GEREZGIHER, YEMANE M	
901 MAIN ST SUITE 3100	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	X 75202-3789	•	2144	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- " - " - " - " - " - " - " - " - " - "	
09/879,583	WIPFEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yemane M Gerezgiher	2144		

Advisory Action	09/8/9,583	WIPFEL ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yemane M Gerezgiher	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre				
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	•			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) a			
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) and the second property of the	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing th	e Notice of			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: Although a node state field broadly indicate						
<u>amendment directed to a functional limitation of the to shut down" necessitates further search and con</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (P	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-9</u> would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	,		_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is ı	be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessared. 10. The affidavit as at the avidence is entered. As a pulpostic to the contract of t	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application if	n condition for allowand	e because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
	~,	WILLIAM A. CUCHLINS	SKI JR			
	SU	PERVISORY PATENT E	XAMINER			
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER	₹8600			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 13. Other:

Claims 1-9 are allowed over the prior art of record as proposed. The submission of the proposed modifications of claims 1-9 is suggested including canceling of all other non-allowed claims. Response after final can only be entered if it places the entire (all claims) case in allowable form. The inventive entity argue that the sited prior art "fails to recite a node state field indicating a current state of the node, wherein the current state identifies the node as being dead, alive, or preparing to shut down." See Claim 10 (as amended) and Applicant's Remark Page 11 Lines 5-7. However, the amendment made to claim 10 requires further search and consideration in order to properly determine patentability of the claimed invention. As per claim 18, the applicant's argument that Mackenzie fails to teach a node writing a message prior to leaving is not persuasive. As also disclosed in the last action, MacKenzie disclosed shutting down nodes that are not in the surviving nodes where each node was required to write a status change in the respective slot (data structure) and terminate See Figure 14C below, Column 19, Lines 24-27, Lines 54-60 and Lines 38-42 and Column 20, Lines 14-18 ("a plurality of data structures, wherein each of the plurality of data structures is associated with a computer within the plurality of computers, wherein the plurality of computers periodically update the data structures to reflect membership in the clustered computer system.").